UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE GARDSOLTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	00 CV 7322
THERESA REEL,	:
Plaintiff	: COMPLAINT
-against-	: JUNETRIAL REQUESTED
NEW YORK CITY DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION,	AUG 20 2009 U 8
Defendant	U.S.D.C. S.D. N.Y.

Plaintiff, by her attorneys, Cary Kane LLP complaining of defendant, alleges:

NATURE OF ACTION

- 1. Plaintiff brings this action to remedy ongoing sexual harassment at her place of work, a New York City public high school. Specifically, plaintiff has, since 2005, been subject to a campaign of abusive remarks, threats, and sexual assaults by students. Defendant has refused to take any meaningful action to prevent or correct such harassment and has failed to develop or implement any policies for reporting and remedying such harassment. In fact, defendant has stated that it does not consider student sexual harassment of faculty to be a matter of equal employment opportunity and refuses to review any such incidents. As a result of defendant's refusal to act, plaintiff faces a barrage of harassment on a regular basis, and an employer who refuses to take any action to rectify the situation.
- 2. Plaintiff has repeatedly brought this issue to the attention of defendant's administrators and attempted to resolve such matters through internal reporting procedures. In all instances, defendant has failed to respond in any meaningful way to plaintiff's complaints. In fact, counsel for defendant, when notified of the ongoing

campaign of harassment against plaintiff, did not agree to take any corrective action and instead chose to suggest that plaintiff was responsible for the harassment because she was wearing "inappropriate attire, in that she wore a low-cut, V-neck, lace top while teaching one of her classes." Plaintiff therefore brings this action to remedy unlawful employment discrimination on the basis of sex in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 ("Title VII"), 42 U.S.C. §2000e et seq...,Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 ("Title IX"), 20 U.S.C. §1681 et seq... the New York State Human Rights Law, N.Y. Exec Law §296 and New York City Human Rights Law, N.Y.C. Administrative Code §§8-101 et seq.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. Jurisdiction over plaintiff's claims under Title VII and Title IX is invoked pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §2000e(f)(3) and 28 U.S.C. §1331.
- 4. Jurisdiction over plaintiff's state law claims is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367(a), in that plaintiff's state and local law claims are so related to plaintiff's Title VII claims so as to form the same case or controversy under Article III of the United States Constitution.
- 5. Venue is proper within this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391, because defendant is headquartered and therefore resides in this District.
- 6. Plaintiff has exhausted all administrative remedies. Plaintiff received a "right to sue" letter from the United States Equal Employment Opportunity Commission on or around May 28, 2009.

PARTIES

- 7. Plaintiff Theresa Reel ("Reel") resides in the County of Queens in the State of New York.
- 8. Defendant New York City Department of Education ("DOE") is a city school district established pursuant to Title II, Article 52-A of the New York State Education Law. N.Y. Educ. Law §§2590 et seq.
- 9. Upon information and belief, defendant receives financial assistance from the United States Government for use in its educational programs.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 10. Plaintiff commenced employment as a high school teacher for DOE in or around September of 2004. Since September of 2005, plaintiff has been employed as a Social Studies teacher at the School For Legal Studies ("SFLS"), a high school located in Williamsburg, Brooklyn.
- 11. Since working at SFLS, plaintiff has been subjected to repeated sexual harassment by students at the school. Plaintiff has been regularly subjected to verbally abusive remarks, physical threats, and sexual assault.
- 12. Despite plaintiff's attempts to follow DOE's established disciplinary procedures in response to such incidents, SFLS's administration has taken little or no action to prevent and correct the sexual harassment faced by plaintiff. Offending students are often not reprimanded for sexually harassing plaintiff. At other times, SFLS's administration has attempted to blame plaintiff for being victimized.
- 13. DOE has further stated that it does not consider sexual harassment of teachers by students as a matter of equal employment opportunity. It's Office of Equal

Opportunity has refused to act upon plaintiff's complaint of sexual harassment because it does not consider sexual harassment of teachers by students to be within its jurisdiction.

- 14. Due to DOE's refusal to seriously address the issue of student sexual harassment, plaintiff must regularly endure lewd, vulgar and offensive remarks, and in some cases threats and assaults, with no meaningful means of redress. Below is a non-exhaustive list of incidents of harassment suffered by plaintiff since she has worked as a teacher at SFLS.
- 15. On October 20, 2005, plaintiff was verbally harassed by two male students in her class, T.R. and G.B.¹ T.R. called plaintiff a "fucking bitch" during class, and G.B. repeatedly called plaintiff a "white bitch" after class. For both students, plaintiff prepared a Student Referral Form, a form teachers prepare to report misconduct. Upon information and belief, no action was taken against G.B. Upon information and belief, T.R. received nothing more than a warning and a referral to guidance.
- 16. On October 25, 2005, S.B., a female student in plaintiff's class, called plaintiff a "son of a ho" and told the class that plaintiff "needs to get a man." Plaintiff prepared a Student Referral Form,. The only response from the Dean's Office was that S.B. was to be referred to the counselor's office.
- 17. On November 4, 2005, N.S., a female student in plaintiff's class, repeatedly yelled "fuck" and told the class that plaintiff was sexually frustrated and needed a man. After repeated disruptions, plaintiff instructed the student to leave the room. After class, plaintiff was confronted by Denise Morgan, then principal of the school. Morgan objected to plaintiff's dismissal of N.S. and told plaintiff "we do not send students out of the room for talking" and informed plaintiff she could only send a

¹ Throughout this Complaint, students will be referred to solely by their initials.

N.S. was directing sexual remarks toward plaintiff, Morgan responded "And how does that threaten you?" Plaintiff prepared a Student Referral Form for N.S. Upon information and belief, no action was taken against N.S.

- 18. On January 5, 2006, plaintiff was covering a class for an absent teacher. Students in the class kept asking if plaintiff was pregnant. Then many in the class began chanting "Ms. Reel doesn't wash her pussy." Plaintiff was so humiliated and distraught that she had to leave the class and ask a school aide to cover the class. Plaintiff prepared a note to the Dean's office describing the incident. Upon information and belief, no action was taken against any of the students.
- 19. On January 10, 2006, R.Y., a male student from the class plaintiff covered on January 5th, was walking in the hallway with a female student. R.Y. announced to the female that plaintiff was the teacher "who doesn't wash her pussy." He then began to aggressively follow plaintiff and shout "Miss, Miss, I said hello, why won't you say hello to me?" Plaintiff prepared both a Student Referral Form and a Safety Incident Report to be submitted to her union. Upon information and belief, no action was taken against R.Y.
- 20. On February 4, 2006, K.T., a female student in plaintiff's class, threw paper in plaintiff's face and called her a "bitch." Plaintiff prepared a Guidance Information Referral, attached as Exhibit 8. Upon information and belief, an Assistant Principal spoke with K.T. before any formal guidance intervention, but no further action was taken against K.T.

- 21. On May 25, 2006, M.H., a former male student of plaintiff, approached plaintiff in the hallway. M.H. approached plaintiff and rubbed plaintiff's breast with his elbow, smiling as he did so. Plaintiff immediately prepared a Student Referral Form and a Written Referral Form.
- Plaintiff inquired about the status of her report with Scott Widor, a school dean, who said that he did not know why no action had been taken and that her reports may have gotten lost. On June 2, 2006, plaintiff was accosted by William Abreau, an Assistant Principal of another high school that shares facilities with SFLS. Mr. Abreau expressed anger that plaintiff continued to pursue the matter and warned her that if she persisted in her complaint, that DOE would send an official from its office of Special Investigations to investigate the matter and that plaintiff would be treated as the guilty party. It was only after repeated complaints by plaintiff that SFLS took action and briefly suspended M.H. M.H. remained a student in the school until he graduated and plaintiff regularly saw him in the hallways. Upon information and belief, M.H. inappropriately touched other female teachers in the same manner in which he inappropriately touched plaintiff. Upon further information and belief, DOE did not take any action against M.H. for these incidents.
- 23. On December 19, 2006, E.L., a female student, approached plaintiff in the hallway from behind and called her a "fucking cunt." Plaintiff prepared a Student Referral Form for E.L. Upon information and belief, no action was taken against E.L.
- 24. On January 17, 2007, C.A., a female student, called plaintiff "tits" in the hallway. Plaintiff prepared a Student Referral Form for C.A. Upon information and belief, no action was taken against C.A.

- 25. On June 6, 2007, L.R., plaintiff's student, told plaintiff to "suck his dick." Plaintiff prepared a Student Referral Form and a Safety Report Form. Upon information and belief, no action was taken against L.R.
- 26. On September 6, 2007, J.H., a male student of plaintiff's, yelled at plaintiff saying "Fuck you! Get the fuck out of here! Suck my dick!" Plaintiff had security escort the student from the classroom. Plaintiff prepared a Student Referral Form, and later, a Written Statement Form. J.H. was subsequently transferred to another class but continued to harass plaintiff.
- 27. On September 7, 2007, plaintiff met Principal Morgan and Jessica Anaya, the union's chapter chair. Both Ms. Anaya and plaintiff informed Ms. Morgan that the situation in her school was out of control, with students regularly harassing plaintiff and other teachers. Morgan stated that she did not condone the behavior, but she did not promise any action to stop it. Plaintiff asked Morgan what procedures there were for protecting teachers from sexual harassment by students. Morgan was unable to identify any Department of Education policy that did so.
- Office when a group of male students wandering the hallways opened the door and yelled "Bitch!" at her. An hour later, when plaintiff was going to the restroom, the same group of students saw plaintiff and yelled "ball licker!" at her. Plaintiff prepared a Student Referral Form for the one student whom she was able to identify and also prepared a separate Written Statement Form. Upon information and belief, no action was taken against any of the students.

- 29. On October 10, 2007, E.O., a male student of plaintiff's, began disrupting the class and shouted "Suck my dick, you fat nigger!" to another student. Plaintiff had security remove E.O. from the class and take him to the Dean's Office. Plaintiff prepared a Student Referral Form and Written Statement Form. Upon information and belief, no action was taken against E.O.. Instead, Principal Morgan informed plaintiff that she was being investigated for corporal punishment. The subsequent investigation found the allegations of corporal punishment to be unsubstantiated.
- 30. On November 8, 2007, S.B., referred to in paragraph 16 above, yelled to the class that plaintiff was a lesbian. Plaintiff prepared a Student Referral Form for S.B. Upon information and belief, no action was taken against S.B..
- 31. On November 16, 2007, K.G., a female student in plaintiff's class, was in the hallway. When she saw plaintiff, she yelled "Ms. Reel, your nipples are hard!" Plaintiff prepared a Student Referral Form and a Safety Report Form for K.G.. Upon information and belief, no action that was taken against K.G..
- 32. On November 19, 2007, plaintiff told A.D., a male student in plaintiff's class, that his pants were too low and asked him to pull them up. In response he yelled "Fuck her," referring to plaintiff. Plaintiff prepared a Student Referral Form for A.D. Upon information and belief, no action was taken against A.D.
- 33. On December 18, 2007, while plaintiff was in the Computer Lab with her class, T.G., a male student of plaintiff's, repeatedly disrupted the class by shouting "Fuck!" As the student became repeatedly disruptive, plaintiff sent a note to his counselor, asking her to come and take him to her office. The Counselor sent word back that she was unavailable. T.G. then became more abusive and threatening. He threatened

plaintiff, saying "I'm going to bop you on the head," called her an "ugly bitch" and then told her "I have a rubber, want to party?" Plaintiff prepared a Student Referral Form and a Written Statement Form. Upon information and belief, no action was taken against T.G.

- 34. On December 19, 2007, plaintiff met with Principal Morgan, T.G.'s counselor, and Assistant Principal Heckstall. When plaintiff complained that there was no set reporting procedure for student sexual harassment, Morgan intimated that plaintiff was making up the allegations or that she was doing something to provoke the harassment, saying "Ms. Reel, I'm concerned that you are the only person reporting these things."
- 35. On December 20, 2007, N.G., a male student of plaintiff's, announced to the class that a certain student who had been commended for receiving a high mark "...must have eaten her [plaintiff's] p-" (stopping just short of saying "pussy"). Plaintiff immediately called for her Assistant Principal who had the student removed from the class. Plaintiff prepared a Student Referral Form for N.G.. Although plaintiff was told that N.G. would be suspended, N.G. did not serve a suspension.
- 36. On March 3, 2008, H.B., a male student in plaintiff's class (who, at the time of the incident, was twenty years old), told the class that plaintiff had a "stinky ass" and threatened plaintiff. Another male student, K.P., encouraged H.B. by shouting, "Snuff her!" and, "This teacher is going down!" (K.P. also repeatedly used the word "fuck" in plaintiff's class). Plaintiff prepared Student Referral Forms for H.B. and K.P., attached as Exhibits 38 and 39. H.B. was reportedly suspended. Upon information and belief, the only action taken against K.P. was to send a letter home to his parents.

- 37. On February 4, 2008, T.P., a male student in plaintiff's class, said to the class that a Native American Talking Stick being used in plaintiff's "sex toy." Another male student in the class, T.H., spread his legs open and repeatedly whispered "sex toy!" Plaintiff immediately had the students removed from the classroom and taken to the Dean's office, and prepared guidance referral forms for both students. Upon information and belief, no action was taken against the students. On February 7, 2008, T.H. continued to taunt plaintiff about the incident, repeatedly whispering "sex toy!" in class. Plaintiff wrote a follow-up memorandum to Principal Morgan. Upon information and belief, no action was taken against T.H.
- 38. On April 2, 2008, K.F., a female student, repeatedly yelled "Suck my dick" to plaintiff when plaintiff instructed the student to go to her class. Plaintiff prepared a Student Referral Form and a Written Statement Form for K.F. Upon information and belief, other than a referral to meet with an Assistant Principal, no action was taken against K.F.
- 39. On April 14, 2008, T.W., a male student in plaintiff's class, approached plaintiff and asked her if she had ever used a condom before. Plaintiff prepared a Student Referral Form for T.W. Upon information and belief, no action was taken against T.W.
- 40. On May 20, 2008, H.B., mentioned in paragraph 40, entered plaintiff's class, even though he was supposed to be on suspension. H.B. told plaintiff that he and his mother were going to "fuck her up" and told plaintiff to "get off his dick" three times. Plaintiff wrote a referral to the Dean's Office. On her way out of the building that day, a dean, Dr. Villafleur, told plaintiff that she had escorted H.B. out of the building. In

response, plaintiff told Dr. Villafleur that she had just seen H.B. roaming the hallway and cursing five minutes ago. Dr. Villafleur's only response was to shrug her shoulders.

- 41. On June 13, 2008, C.A., referenced in paragraph 24, was in the hallway using a cellular phone and an iPod in violation of school guidelines. When plaintiff told C.A. to put the devices away, she yelled at plaintiff using language including: "Bitch," "Fuck you," "Pussy Bitch," "Suck my dick," and "I'm going to fuck you up after school." Plaintiff prepared a Student Referral Form. Plaintiff was told by Dean Villafleur that she had called the student's parent and would set up a conference with plaintiff and the parent. No such conference was ever held.
- 42. On June 24, 2008, C.A. again accosted plaintiff shouting "Ms. Reel sucks dick." Plaintiff prepared a Student Referral Form for C.A. Upon information and belief, no action was taken against C.A. Plaintiff was told by Assistant Principal Heckstall, that even though such referrals were supposed to go to the Dean, the principal instead gave it to her for handling. Heckstall further informed plaintiff that the principal has not established any procedures for dealing with incidents of the type reported by plaintiff.
- 43. On September 11, 2008, plaintiff instructed, T.P., a male student who was wandering the hallway, to go to his class. T.P. called plaintiff a "fucking bitch" and to get her "bum ass" out of the hallway. Plaintiff prepared a Student Referral Form for T.P. Upon information and belief, no action was taken against T.P.
- 44. On December 15, 2008, H.G., a male student, was blocking the hallways and preventing plaintiff from standing in the hallway space she is required to stand in between classes. H.G. repeatedly moved his arms to block plaintiff and struck her in the side. When plaintiff asked a school dean to remove H.G. from the hallway, he shouted

"fuck you" and called plaintiff a "dumbass." Plaintiff prepared a Student Referral Form for H.G. Upon information and belief, no action was taken against H.G.

- 45. On December 19, 2008, C.B., a female student, was wandering the hallways with other students. When plaintiff asked C.B. where her class was, C.B. replied "shut up, bitch." Plaintiff prepared a Student Referral Form for C.B. Upon information and belief, no action was taken against C.B.
- 46. On January 6, 2009, B.P., a female student, was wandering the hallways and throwing food on the floor. When plaintiff instructed her to go to class, B.P. replied "Shut up bitch" and "suck my dick." Plaintiff prepared a School Safety/Discipline Report Form. Upon information and belief, no action was taken against B.P.
- 47. On February 9, 2009, R.R., a male student, was wandering the halls and repeatedly called plaintiff "Ms. Reedy-booty" despite plaintiff's instructions that he stop. Plaintiff prepared a Student Referral Form for R.R. Upon information and belief, no action was taken against R.R.
- 48. The incidents described in paragraphs "15" through "47" are not exhaustive, and plaintiff has suffered similar incidents of harassment several times per week. DOE has rarely taken any disciplinary or other action against the offending students.
- 49. In addition to reporting individual incidents of harassment and receiving no redress, plaintiff has repeatedly been rebuffed in her efforts to address the problem of student sexual harassment of faculty through other established procedures. On September 17, 2007, plaintiff, in her capacity as union delegate, circulated a memorandum to fellow teachers concerning the lack of sexual harassment reporting

procedures for student on faculty harassment. Shortly thereafter, principal Morgan summoned plaintiff to her office and told plaintiff that she was upset with the language used in the memorandum and that plaintiff decided to distribute the memorandum to other teachers without her approval (even though, as a union delegate, plaintiff does not need her principal's permission to communicate with other teachers).

- Opportunity ("OEO") have also been rebuffed. On February 7, 2008, plaintiff filed a complaint with OEO concerning SFLS's lack of procedures for reporting and remedying sexual harassment of teachers by students. By letter dated February 12, 2008, the Office of Equal Opportunity responded, stating that they did not address cases of student sexual harassment of faculty, and did not consider the matter to be within their jurisdiction.
- 51. By letter dated May 6, 2008, counsel for plaintiff contacted DOE concerning the sexual harassment suffered by plaintiff in her workplace. In response, DOE denied that any further action needed to be taken in response to the harassment inflicted on plaintiff. Instead, DOE reprimanded plaintiff, claiming that on May 3, 2008, she "wore inappropriate attire in that she wore a low-cut, V-neck, lace top while teaching one of her classes." DOE further requested that counsel instruct plaintiff to "dress in appropriate manner when working at school and teaching her classes."
- 52. Due to the Department's refusal to address the issue of student sexual harassment of teachers, plaintiff has become ill on several occasions due to the harassment she has suffered, and has most recently requested a "safety transfer" to another school, despite her desire to teach the curriculum established at SFLS.

AS AND FOR A FIRST CLAIM

Title VII - Discrimination Based on Sex

- 53. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs "1" through "52" as if set forth fully herein.
- 54. The repeated incidents of verbal abuse, sexual remarks, threats and assaults against plaintiff constitute a hostile work environment which plaintiff has suffered because of her gender.
- 55. Plaintiff has repeatedly objected to the hostile work environment to which she has been subjected. DOE has refused to take sufficient steps to alleviate that environment.
- 56. Plaintiff is now suffering and will continue to suffer irreparable injury and damages for mental anguish and humiliation as a result of the conduct complained of herein.
- 57. DOE by refusing to take sufficient steps to alleviate the hostile work environment to which plaintiff has been subjected, has discriminated, and continues to discriminate, against plaintiff on the basis of her sex.
- 58. Defendant, through the aforementioned conduct, has violated Title VII, 42 U.S.C. §§2000e et seq., by discriminating against plaintiff on the basis of her sex.

AS AND FOR A SECOND CLAIM

Title IX - Discrimination Based on Sex

59. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs "1" through "58" as if set forth fully herein.

60. Defendant, through the aforementioned conduct, has violated Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, 20 U.S.C. §1681, et seq., by discriminating against plaintiff on the basis of her sex.

AS AND FOR A THIRD CLAIM

New York State Human Rights Law - Discrimination Based on Sex

- 61. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs "1" through "60" as if set forth fully herein.
- 62. Defendant, through the aforementioned conduct, has violated the New York State Human Rights Law, N.Y. Exec Law. §§290 et seq., by discriminating against plaintiff on the basis of her sex.

AS AND FOR A FOURTH CLAIM

- 63. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations set forth in paragraphs "1" through "62" as if set forth fully herein.
- 64. Defendant, through the aforementioned conduct, has violated the New York City Human Rights Law, N.Y.C. Administrative Code §§8-101 et seq.. by discriminating against plaintiff on the basis of her sex.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court enter a judgment:

- (a) Declaring that defendant is in violation of Title VII, Title IX, the New York State Human Rights Law, and New York City Human Rights Law;
- (b) Enjoining defendant from engaging in any similar violation of Title VII,

 Title IX, the New York State Human Rights Law, and the New York City Human Rights

 Law;

- (c) Awarding plaintiff compensatory damages pursuant to Title VII, Title IX, the New York State Human Rights Law, and the New York City Human Rights Law;
- (d) Awarding equitable relief, including but not limited to requiring defendant's to establish a policy for reporting and remedying sexual harassment of teachers by students, pursuant to Title VII, Title IX, the New York State Human Rights Law, and the New York City Human Rights Law;
- (e) Awarding plaintiff costs and reasonable attorneys fees in this action, pursuant to Title VII, Title IX, and the New York City Human Rights Law; and
- (f) Granting such other and further relief as this Court deems necessary and proper.

Dated: New York, New York August 20, 2009

> Respectfully submitted, CARY KANE LLP Attorneys for Plaintiff

Larry Cary (LC3352)

Joshua S.C. Parkhurst (JP5022) 1350 Broadway, Suite 815

New York, NY 10018

(212) 868-6300

DEMAND FOR A TRIAL BY JURY

Plaintiffs, by and through her above-signed counsel, hereby demands, pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, a trial by jury in the above-captioned action.